## Latvia-Lithuania Cross Border Cooperation Programme 2007-2013 <br> Project LLIV-322 MY SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY-My Response

## Annex No 1: Accessibility evaluation questionnaire

## ACCESSIBILITY ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

Place $\qquad$
Place address $\qquad$

## 1. External environment

### 1.1. Car parking

| No | To check: | Rating <br> (0- not at all, <br> 1- partially met, <br> 2- fully met) | Does not apply <br> If it doesn't apply <br> mark with an X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Is there at least one parking for People <br> with Disability (PwD)? |  |  |
| 2 | Is the parking place for PwD large <br> enough (min. - 3.9 m wide and 5 m <br> long)? |  |  |
| 3 | Is the parking place for PwD marked <br> with a special sign? |  |  |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |

! The average rating (points marked with " X " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating)

### 1.2. Access from the street/parking lot to the main entrance of the building and pavement

| No | To check: | Rating <br> (0-not at all, <br> 1- partially met, <br> 2- fully met) | Does not <br> apply <br> If it doesn't <br> apply mark <br> with an X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Is entrance, front area of elevators, and access, as well <br> as other for visitors available rooms are designed <br> without any threshold? Are ramps placed in all level <br> differences between rooms or between the building and <br> the pavement? |  |  |

[^0]Latvia-Lithuania Cross Border Cooperation Programme 2007-2013
Project LLIV-322 MY SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY-My Response

| 5 | Is surface of the way, which provides access to building <br> for persons with mobility impairments and in <br> wheelchairs, flat and solid (asphalt or other coverage)? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Is the scale of external ramp not bigger than 1:12 (8\%)? |  |  |
| 7 | Is the width of the movement road not less than 1.2 m ? |  |  |
| 8 | Is access roads, that are longer than 12 m, are at least <br> 1.8 m in width or they in every 12 m have a 1.8 m wide <br> area where to stop or change? |  |  |
| 9 | Is access path well lit? |  |  |
| 10 | Does access path contain no obstacles and no confusing <br> signs? |  |  |
| 11 | Are all kind of posts (traffic lights, road signs, and <br> lighting) marked with contrasting colour or with tape in <br> following heights from surface $-140 \mathrm{~cm}, 160$ cm and 35 <br> cm? |  |  |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |

! The average rating (points marked with " $X$ " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating)

### 1.3. The ladder and the ramp (outdoors and indoors)

| No | To check: | Rating <br> (0-not at all, <br> 1- partially met, <br> 2- fully met) | Does not <br> apply <br> If it doesn't <br> apply mark <br> with an X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | Are on the railings at the first and the last step <br> incorporated floor number in Braille? |  |  |
| 13 | Are warning at the top of the stairs, and at the bottom <br> visually detectable? (it should be the entire width of <br> the stairs, min. 1 cm wide) |  |  |
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! The average rating (points marked with " $X$ " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating)

### 1.5. Signs and indications (in outdoors and indoors)

| No | To check: | Rating <br> $(0-$ not at all, <br> $1-$ partially met, | Does not <br> apply <br> If it doesn't <br> 2- fully met) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| apply mark |  |  |  |
| with an $X$ |  |  |  |
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| 21 | Are there signs and indications that directions, <br> evacuation etc.? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | Is the size of letters in indications at least 5 cm high? <br> Are indications placed in height of 1.4-1.6 m? Are <br> they readable from 2 m distance? |  |  |
| 23 | Are images, symbols, icons used as an alternative to <br> text in signs? |  |  |
| 24 | Are the indications well alight? |  |  |
| 25 | Are indications fitted so that they are easy to access <br> and read? |  |  |
| 26 | Do indications nicely contrast with the surrounding <br> environment? |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

! The average rating (points marked with " X " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating).

## 2. Indoor

### 2.1. Entrance, publicly accessible rooms, residential houses

| No | To check: | Rating <br> (0-not at all, <br> 1- partially met, <br> 2- fully met) | Does not <br> apply <br> If it doesn't <br> apply mark <br> with an X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | Does the door open and close automatically? |  |  |
| 28 | Are door bells, card readers, door handles fitted in <br> height of 0.9-1.1 m from ground? |  |  |
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| 29 | Is indoor designed for easy access and mobility for <br> persons with mobility impairments, wheelchair users <br> and prams, as well as the appropriate accessory to <br> separate places (such as hotel rooms, toilets)? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | Do public buildings that are higher than one floor have <br> solution to move between floors that would be suitable <br> for people with special needs? |  |  |
| 31 | Is there at least one toilet room for wheelchair users in <br> each floor? |  |  |
| 32 | Are toilet rooms for wheelchair users accessible? |  |  |
| 33 | Is customer service organized in accessible place, so <br> that it is easy to find? |  |  |
| 34 | Is it possible for persons with sight or hearing <br> impairments to get the necessary accessible audio or <br> visual information? |  |  |
| 35 | Are rooms equipped with good (contrasting and well <br> illuminated) signs and indications? |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL: |  |

! The average rating (points marked with " $X$ " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating).

### 2.2. Corridors

| No | To check: | Rating <br> (0- not at all, <br> 1- partially met, <br> 2- fully met) | Does not apply <br> If it doesn't <br> apply mark with <br> an X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36 | Are there no steps on the way to rooms? |  |  |
| 37 | Is floor surface tarnished? |  |  |
| 38 | Are floors covered with no carpets/rugs? |  |  |
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| 39 | Are corridors wide enough for wheelchair users? <br> Free width at least 1.5 m in public buildings and at <br> least 1.8 m in residential buildings? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | Are facilities and equipment located outside the main <br> movement routes? |  |  |
| 41 | Is there enough space for the wheelchair-user to turn <br> (min. 1.5 m x 1.5 m )? |  |  |
| 42 | Are walls, floors and doors in evacuation paths in <br> contrasting colours in order to facilitate orientation to <br> evacuate? |  |  |
| 43 | Is there understandable system of indications to find <br> right rooms? |  |  |
| 44 | Is there a good general lighting? | TOTAL: |  |
|  |  |  |  |

! The average rating (points marked with " $X$ " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating).

### 2.3. Doors

| No | To check: | Rating <br> (0-not at all, <br> 1- partially met, <br> 2- fully met) | Does not apply <br> If it doesn't <br> apply mark with <br> an X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | Are doors free width at least 90 cm in public <br> buildings and at least 120 cm in (external door) <br> residential building? |  |  |
| 46 | Are glass doors and walls contrasting colouration <br> persistent, or its upper edge is located at 160 cm, 35 <br> cm, and 140 cm height from the floor? The <br> contrasting markings must be 10 cm wide bar. |  |  |
| 47 | Do doors and door frames contrast with surrounding <br> wall? |  |  |
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TOTAL:
! The average rating (points marked with " X " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating).

### 2.4. Elevators

| No | To check: | Rating <br> (0-not at all, <br> 1- partially met, <br> 2- fully met) | Does not <br> apply <br> If it doesn’t <br> apply mark <br> with an X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48 | Is there built-in warning field (different cover <br> material) on the floor before every elevator? |  |  |
| 49 | Is every elevator cab at least 1.1 m x 1.4 m ? |  |  |

! The average rating (points marked with " X " are not taken into account in calculating the average rating).
Institution's total average rating: points.
(Total average rating = average of all rated issues block amount divided by the number of units measured, max 10 points)
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